

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,472	11/14/2003	Charles A. Vacanti	07917-082003	1928
23579 7:	590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER	
PATREA L. PABST			NICHOLS, CHRISTOPHER J	
PABST PATE: 400 COLONY	NT GROUP LLP SOUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			1647	
ATLANTA, G	A 30361		DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Astion Comment		10/713,472	VACANTI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher J Nichols, Ph.D.	1647				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will be set or extended peri	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	r be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	4 November 2003.					
		his action is non-final.					
3)□	·						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 22-44 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 22-44 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		, ,				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in App priority documents have been re- reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	e			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,472 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

1. The Preliminary Amendment filed 14 November 2003 has been received and entered in full.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 22-40, drawn to a tissue support structure, classified in class 424, subclass
     426, for example.
  - II. Claims **41-42**, drawn to an isolated mammalian adult autonomic nervous system neural stem cell, classified in class 325, subclass 368, for example.
  - III. Claims **43-44**, drawn to an isolated mammalian adult *neuroendocrine stem cell*, classified in class 325, subclass 369, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to <u>different</u> products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons.
- 5. Inventions I, II, and III are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 1647

6. The tissue forming structure of Invention I is independent and distinct from Inventions II and III because it can be used in methods which are materially different from the neural stem cell of Invention II and the neuroendocrine stem cell of Invention III, such in cell-based therapy, a cell-scaffolding model, or drug release structure.

Page 3

- Although the neural stem cell of Invention II can be used to make the tissue forming structure of Invention I, it is independent and distinct from Invention I because it can be used in other materially different methods, such as in various biochemical or therapeutic methods. In addition, the neural stem cell of Invention II can be prepared by processes which are materially different from tissue forming structure of Invention I, such as by isolation and purification from natural sources. The neural stem cell of Invention II can be prepared by processes which are materially different from neuroendocrine cell of Invention III, such as by isolation and purification from natural sources.
- 8. Although the neuroendocrine stem cell of Invention III can be used to make the tissue forming structure of Invention I, it is independent and distinct from Invention I because it can be used in other materially different methods, such as in various biochemical or therapeutic methods. In addition, the neuroendocrine stem cell of Invention III can be prepared by processes which are materially different from tissue forming structure of Invention I, such as by isolation and purification from natural sources. The neuroendocrine stem cell of Invention III can be prepared by processes which are materially different from neural cell of Invention II, such as by isolation and purification from natural sources.
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 1647

a. Polysaccharides

- b. Proteins
- c. Polyphosphazenes
- d. Poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) block polymers
- e. Poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) block polymers of ethylene diamine

Page 4

- f. Poly(acrylic acids)
- g. Poly(methacrylic acids)
- h. Copolymers of acrylic acid and methacrylic acid
- i. Poly(vinyl acetate)
- j. Suflonated polymers
- 10. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 34 is generic.
- 11. If applicant selects Invention I, one species from the hydrogel group must be chosen to be fully responsive.
- 12. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 13. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/713,472 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 14. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 15. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - k. Epidermal cells
  - l. Chondrocytes and other cells that form cartilage
  - m. Macrophages
  - n. Dermal cells
  - o. Muscle cells
  - p. Hair follicles
  - q. Fibroblasts
  - r. Organ cells
  - s. Osteoblasts and other cells that form bone
  - t. Endothelial cells
  - u. Mucosal cells
  - v. Pleural cells
  - w. Ear canal cells

Application/Control Number: 10/713,472 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

x. Tympanic membrane cells

y. Peritoneal cells

z. Schwann cells

aa. Corneal epithelial cells

bb. Gingiva cells

cc. Neural cells

dd. Neural stem cells

ee. Tracheal epithelial cells

16. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 35 is generic.

# 17. If applicant selects Invention I, one species from the tissue precursor cell group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

- 18. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 19. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1647

umber: 10//13,4/2 Page 7

20. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 22. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and/or different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Christopher James Nichols**, **Ph.D.** whose telephone number is (571) 272-0889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Brenda Brumback** can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CJN March 1, 2005

1

Page 8

2 Mulo